**Appendix A - Marking Scheme Student**

**Student ID 1 : E1800193**

**Student ID 2 : E1800189**

1. **Follow-up (CLO1)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **LO** | **Assessment Area** | **Poor** | **Satisfactory** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Marks** | |
| **CLO1** | Identification of Problems and Solution [4] |  |  |  |  |  | |
| Analysis/Design Updates [5]   * Wireframes * ERD/DD * Etc. |  |  |  |  |  | |
| Screen Shots [5] |  |  |  |  | Student  1 | Student  2 |
|  |  |  | **Sub-total (/14 marks)** | | |  | |

1. **Test Document (individual)**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **LO** | **Assessment Area** | **Poor** | **Satisfactory** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Marks** | |
| **CLO1** | Test objectives [3] |  |  |  |  | Student  1 | Student  2 |
| [2]   * Test Plan (includes) * Tests methods specification evaluation * Schedule |  |  |  |  |  | |
| Testing – Using Selenium   * Unit Testing [4] |  |  |  |  |  | |
| * Integration Testing [4] * System (func and nonfunc) [4] |  |  |  |  |  | |
| Tests analysis report [4] |  |  |  |  |  | |
| Conclusion/Review |  |  |  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | **Sub-total (/26 marks)** | | |  | |

1. **Documentation, Presentation and Collaboration**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **LO** | **Assessment Area** | **Poor** | **Satisfactory** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Marks** | |
| **CLO3** | Documentation (Design and Testing) [5]   * Format * Consistency/ Spelling/ Grammar * Professionalism |  |  |  |  |  | |
| **CLO2** | Project Management [5]   * Gantt Chart |  |  |  |  | Student  1 | Student  2 |
| **CLO3** | Presentation [10] |  |  |  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | **Sub-total (/20 marks)** | | |  | |

1. **Collaboration and System Implementation**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **LO** | **Assessment Area** | **Poor** | **Satisfactory** | **Good** | **Excellent** | **Marks** | |
| **CLO1** | Application operation success rate [15]   * System functional/ partially functional/ not working * Adequacy of the levels of complexity of the design * Validation in place |  |  |  |  | Student  1 | Student  2 |
| Quality of software produced 152]   * Fulfilment of requirements/ objectives * Usability (UX and ease of use) * Creativity/originality |  |  |  |  |  | |
| Collaboration via Git [10]   * Push/pull/branch/ merge/issue – not uploads |  |  |  |  |  | |
|  |  |  | **Sub-total (/40 marks)** | | |  | |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  | Student 1 | Student 2 |
| Academic Integrity Penalty | (As per Academic Integrity Policy) |  | |
| Late Submission | 5 marks per day (\_\_\_ days) | (- ) | |
|  | **TOTAL** |  |  |

**Comments:**

**Feedback**

1. **Follow-up analysis/system design**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Analysis & Design not clearly defined / not updated |
|  | Problems/Solutions not found |
|  | Screen Shots not consistent with the design/not found |

1. **Tests Document**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Tests objectives not clearly defined / insufficient / lacking in proper labelling |
|  | Test methods, specs. not clearly defined / insufficient / not found/No automated tools used |
|  | Tests results not clearly defined / insufficient / not found |
|  | Tests analysis report not clearly defined / insufficient / not found |
|  | Review no reflection on objectives / not found |

1. **Documentation**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Format table of contents not found/inconsistent font size/no proper headings |
|  | Spelling/Grammar spelling mistakes/sentences are not comprehensible/sentences too long |
|  | Gantt Chart not updated |

1. **System and Collaboration**

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
|  | Prototype did not meet functional req/did not meet non functional req/not working/Poor design of screen layouts/poor interaction or navigation/difficult to use |
|  | Use of GIT no evidence of use/minimum use |

**RUBRIC FOR ORAL PRESENTATION – PROTOTYPE II**

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| **Category** | **Exemplary (5 points)** | **Advanced (4 points)** | **Proficient (3 points)** | **Developing (2 points)** | **Not evident (1 points)** |
| **Layout**  Does your website have An attractive and usable layout? Is it well organized? | * The website has an exceptionally attractive and usable layout. * It is easy to locate important elements. | * The website has an   attractive and usable layout   * It is easy to locate important elements. | * The website a usable layout but boring. * It is easy to locate important elements. | * The website has a cluttered or confusing layout. * It is difficult to locate important elements. | * The website has an unusable layout. * It is difficult to locate important elements. |
| **Navigation**  Is your website easy to navigate forward and backwards with working links? | * Links are clearly labelled, consistently placed, and allow the reader to easily move from page to page | * Links are labelled, and allow the reader to easily move from page to page | * Links allow the reader to move from page to page but some links seems to be missing. | * Links are labelled but are broken | * Missing links |
| **Theme and Consistency and Creativity**  Does the prototype have a consistent font, color, and style theme? | * Website uses a consistent color scheme throughout the website. * Pages are all related * Ideas are creative and innovative | * Website has a color scheme. * Less than 3 fonts are used * Graphic elements enhance and support presentation of the content | * Website has a color scheme but not all pages are the same. * Less than 3   fonts are used   * Good use of graphics/design elements | * Website has randomly chosen elements throughout. * More than 3 fonts are used. * Minimal use of design elements, lots of text | * Website has no color scheme * Pages are unrelated * More than 3 fonts are used. * Use of elements does not support the content of the website |
| **Functionality**  Does the prototype meet user requirements? | * All functionalities are in place and are working | * All functionalities in place but with minor errors | * Partial functionalities are working | * Only 1 functionality is working | * None of the functionalities are working |